

Applicant: Rauno Rantanen
Application No.: 10/501,624
Art Unit: 1734

In the Drawings:

Please replace the drawings sheets 1-5 with replacement drawings sheets 1-5.

Applicant: Rauno Rantanen
Application No.: 10/501,624
Art Unit: 1734

Remarks

Claims 25-32 remain pending in the application. In the Office Action dated July 6, 2005, a restriction was required between the following sets of Claims:

Group I: 21-24

Group II: 25-32

Applicant elects Group I claims 21-24, with traverse. Applicant requests reconsideration of the requirement for restriction based on the following argument:

The examiner's statement that the method claims do not define over EP 1 209 274 seems to prejudge the question of patentability, and prove that in any event the examiner should consider all the claims because they would fall in the same search area and not require substantial additional effort.

The drawings have been formalized for line clarity.

Applicant believes that no new matter has been added by this amendment, and the application is now in condition for substantive examination. Favorable action thereon is respectfully solicited.



Patrick J. G. Stiennon, Reg. No. 34934
Attorney for Applicant
Stiennon & Stiennon
P.O. Box 1667
Madison, Wisconsin 53701-1667
(608) 250-4870
Amdt2.res/amdt